

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/716,105	GOPALAKRISHNAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian D. Nguyen	2661	

All Participants:

(1) Brian D. Nguyen.

(2) Claude R. Narcisse.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 3


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant agreed to amend claims 1 and 3 as set forth in the examiner's amendment to overcome the rejections.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)